

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/657,916	Applicant(s) RAPPOLD, ROBERT J.	
	Examiner DUNG K. CHAU	Art Unit 2169	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 December 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's communication filed 12/08/2008 in response to PTO Office Action mailed 09/08/2008. The Applicant's remarks were considered with the results that follow.
2. In response to the last Office Action, claims 1-45 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 1-45** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Ivanov Pub. No. US 2004/0215604 in view of Britton et al. Patent No. US 6,279,030.

As per **claim1**, Ivanov teaches the invention substantially as claimed, including a method for providing an extensible agent comprising:

receiving a request from a client as a query processor that receives a query command from a caller in an application (Abstract; page 1, paragraph [0014]; page 4, paragraph [0045]);

determining one or more environment characteristics as if the target data source 306, 308 is the WCS data source 306, the data source adapter 326 uses data source adapters of the WCS for establishing a connection and querying the WCS data source 306. If the target data source 306, 308 is the local data source 308, connections details for the data source 306, 308 are provided by the query command 314 to the data source adapter 326, for establishing the required connection and querying the data source 306, 308 (Fig. 3; page 4, paragraphs [0042, 0046]);

However, Ivanov does not explicitly teach

a) dynamically selecting at least a portion of a plurality of agent components based on the client request and the environment characteristics, the at least a portion of the plurality of agent components being selected using a relational knowledgebase that comprises a properties table of properties for dynamic agent component selection and an actions table of actions for processing; and

b) processing the client request using the selected agent components and according to one or more actions of the actions table that are planned and scheduled.

Britton et al. teach

a) **dynamically selecting at least a portion of a plurality of agent components based on the client request and the environment characteristics, the at least a portion of the plurality of agent components being selected using a relational knowledgebase that comprises a properties table of properties for dynamic agent component selection and an actions table of actions for processing** as dynamically selecting a program component based upon a user's

Art Unit: 2169

authorization privileges, current working environment, preferences, network connection type, status, current values of changeable attributes or some combination thereof. The values of changeable attributes may be provided from a plurality of sources, including the user, configuration mechanisms on the user's machine, the network gateway, or a network database of user or group preferences and administrative policy information (Fig. 4; col. 3 line 40 – col. 4, line 42; col. 7 lines 26-34; col. 9 line 56 - col. 10 line 12).

b) processing the client request using the selected agent components and according to one or more actions of the actions table that are planned and scheduled as a servlet version satisfying the predicate records for the desired function would be invoked by a server, and the output of that servlet version returned to the client workstation as the result of processing the function. Or, a specific servlet may be invoked by the server, with the component selection process performed within that servlet. This selection process would determine which component to access (such as another servlet) in order to execute the desired version of functionality (col. 15 line 32 – col. 17 line 48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Ivanov and Britton et al. to dynamically select a program component and process the selected program component based on user request, because it would allow software be optimized for particular users or groups of users, or particular environments of hardware and/or software, while still

Art Unit: 2169

providing applications that are usable by a wide range of users in a wide range of operating environments.

As per **claim 2**, Ivanov further teaches **each agent component comprising an object defined in an object-oriented programming language** as Object Oriented Software (page 3, paragraph [0037]).

As per **claim 3**, Ivanov further teaches **instantiating the selected agent component objects** (page 3, paragraphs [0035-0036]).

As per **claim 4**, Ivanov further teaches the method of Claim 1 further comprising:
selecting one or more characteristics of the request (page 4, paragraphs [0045-0047]); and

wherein dynamically selecting at least a portion of a plurality of agent components based on the client request comprises **selecting at least a portion of agent components based on the selected request characteristics** (page 4, paragraph [0047]).

As per **claim 5**, Ivanov further teaches **storing the selected request characteristics in one of the selected agent components** (page 5, paragraph [0055]).

Art Unit: 2169

As per **claim 6**, Ivanov further teaches **one of the selected agent components comprising embedded structured query language (SQL) operable to query a database** (page 1, paragraph [0015]; page 5, paragraph [0055]).

As per **claim 7**, Ivanov further teaches the **client comprising a remote client and the client request is received through a web server** as each of the clients 106 communicates with the server 102 via the network 104. The network 104 may be embodied using one or more conventional networking technologies, including local area networks, wide area networks, intranets, public Internet, and the like (page 2, paragraph [0024]).

As per **claim 8**, Ivanov further teaches **communicating a web-enabled message to the remote client based on the processed request** (page 2, paragraphs [0024-0026]; page 3, paragraph [0033]).

As per **claim 9**, Ivanov further teaches **at least a portion of the agent components comprising objects based on a common parent class, the common parent class comprising component messaging logic and component locating logic** as XML, DataBean (pages 3-4, paragraphs [0041-0043]; page 5, paragraph [0055]).

As per **claim 10**, Ivanov further teaches wherein **at least a portion of the plurality of agent components comply with Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA) standards** as DataBean, and data access objects (DAOs) (page 3; paragraph [0041]; page 4, paragraph [0044]).

As per **claim 11**, Ivanov further teaches **registering each instantiated agent component object** (page 3, paragraphs [0034, 0037]).

As per **claim 12**, Ivanov further teaches wherein dynamically selecting at least a portion of a plurality of agent components based on the client request and the environment characteristics comprises:

automatically retrieving variable properties from a knowledgebase using the client request and the environment variables (page 1, paragraph [0008]); and

selecting at least a portion of the plurality of agent components based on the retrieved variable properties (page 4, paragraph [0044]).

As per **claim 13**, Ivanov further teaches wherein dynamically selecting at least a portion of the plurality of agent components based on the client request and the environment characteristics comprises **selecting at least a portion of the plurality of agent components based on a JAVA properties file** (page 5, paragraphs [000054-55]).

As per **claim 14**, Ivanov further teaches **the selected portion of the plurality of agent components operable to be executed in a non-web-enabled environment and a web-enabled environment** as local area networks, intranets, and internet (page 2, paragraphs [0024-0026]).

As per **claim 15**, Ivanov further teaches the method of Claim 1 further comprising:

migrating the plurality of agent components to an environment prior to receiving the request from the client (page 1, paragraph [0013]; page 2, paragraph [0027]; page 3, paragraph [0032]); and

wherein processing the client request using the selected agent components comprises automatically processing the client request using the selected agent components (page 1, paragraph [0014]; page 3, paragraph [0037]).

As per **claim 16**, Ivanov teaches the invention substantially as claimed, including Software for providing an extensible agent, the software being embodied in a computer-readable medium and when executed operable to:

receiving a request from a client as a query processor that receives a query command from a caller in an application (Abstract; page 1, paragraph [0014]; page 4, paragraph [0045]);

determining one or more environment characteristics as if the target data source 306, 308 is the WCS data source 306, the data source adapter 326 uses data source adapters of the WCS for establishing a connection and querying the WCS data source 306. If the target data source 306, 308 is the local data source 308, connections details for the data source 306, 308 are provided by the query command 314 to the data source adapter 326, for establishing the required connection and querying the data source 306, 308 (Fig. 3; page 4, paragraphs [0042, 0046]);

However, Ivanov does not explicitly teach

a) dynamically selecting at least a portion of a plurality of agent components based on the client request and the environment characteristics, the at least a portion of the plurality of agent components being selected using a relational knowledgebase that comprises a properties table of properties for dynamic agent component selection and an actions table of actions for processing; and

b) processing the client request using the selected agent components and according to one or more actions of the actions table that are planned and scheduled.

Britton et al. teach

a) **dynamically selecting at least a portion of a plurality of agent components based on the client request and the environment characteristics, the at least a portion of the plurality of agent components being selected using a relational knowledgebase that comprises a properties table of properties for dynamic agent component selection and an actions table of actions for processing** as dynamically selecting a program component based upon a user's

Art Unit: 2169

authorization privileges, current working environment, preferences, network connection type, status, current values of changeable attributes or some combination thereof. The values of changeable attributes may be provided from a plurality of sources, including the user, configuration mechanisms on the user's machine, the network gateway, or a network database of user or group preferences and administrative policy information (Fig. 4; col. 3 line 40 – col. 4, line 42; col. 7 lines 26-34; col. 9 line 56 - col. 10 line 12).

b) processing the client request using the selected agent components and according to one or more actions of the actions table that are planned and scheduled as a servlet version satisfying the predicate records for the desired function would be invoked by a server, and the output of that servlet version returned to the client workstation as the result of processing the function. Or, a specific servlet may be invoked by the server, with the component selection process performed within that servlet. This selection process would determine which component to access (such as another servlet) in order to execute the desired version of functionality (col. 15 line 32 – col. 17 line 48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Ivanov and Britton et al. to dynamically select a program component and process the selected program component based on user request, because it would allow software be optimized for particular users or groups of users, or particular environments of hardware and/or software, while still providing applications that are usable by a wide range of users in a wide range of operating environments.

As per **claim 17**, Ivanov further teaches **each agent component comprising an object defined in an object-oriented programming language** as Object Oriented Software (page 3, paragraph [0037]).

As per **claim 18**, Ivanov further teaches **operable to instantiating the selected agent component objects** (page 3, paragraphs [0035-0036]).

As per **claim 19**, Ivanov further teaches operable to **select one or more characteristics of the request** (page 4, paragraphs [0045-0047]); and

wherein the software operable to dynamically select at least a portion of a plurality of agent components based on the client request comprises **the software operable to select at least a portion of agent components based on the selected request characteristics** (page 4, paragraph [0047]).

As per **claim 20**, Ivanov further teaches **operable to store the selected request characteristics in one of the selected agent components** (page 5, paragraph [0055]).

Art Unit: 2169

As per **claim 21**, Ivanov further teaches **one of the selected agent components comprising embedded structured query language (SQL) operable to query a database** (page 1, paragraph [0015]; page 5, paragraph [0055]).

As per **claim 22**, Ivanov further teaches **the client comprising a remote client and wherein the client request is received through a web server** as each of the clients 106 communicates with the server 102 via the network 104. The network 104 may be embodied using one or more conventional networking technologies, including local area networks, wide area networks, intranets, public Internet, and the like (page 2, paragraph [0024]).

As per **claim 23**, Ivanov further teaches **operable to communicate a web-enabled message to the remote client based on the processed request** (page 2, paragraphs [0024-0026]; page 3, paragraph [0033]).

As per **claim 24**, Ivanov further teaches **at least a portion of the agent components comprising objects based on a common parent class, the common parent class comprising component messaging and component location logic as XML, DataBean** (pages 3-4, paragraphs [0041-0043]; page 5, paragraph [0055]).

As per **claim 25**, Ivanov further teaches **wherein at least a portion of the plurality of agent components comply with Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA) standards** as DataBean, and data access objects (DAOs) (page 3; paragraph [0041]; page 4, paragraph [0044]).

As per **claim 26**, Ivanov further teaches **operable to register each instantiated agent component object** (page 3, paragraphs [0034, 0037]).

As per **claim 27**, Ivanov further teaches wherein the software operable to dynamically select at least a portion of a plurality of agent components based on the client request and the environment characteristics comprises the software operable to:

retrieve variable properties from a knowledgebase using the client request and the environment variables (page 1, paragraph [0008]); and

select at least a portion of the plurality of agent components based on the retrieved variable properties (page 4, paragraph [0044]).

As per **claim 28**, Ivanov further teaches wherein the software operable to dynamically select at least a portion of a plurality of agent components based on the client request and the environment characteristics comprises **the software operable to select at least a portion of the plurality of agent components based on a JAVA properties file** (page 5, paragraphs [00054-55]).

As per **claim 29**, Ivanov further teaches the selected portion of the plurality of agent components operable to be executed in a non-web-enabled environment and a web-enabled environment as local area networks, intranets, and internet (page 2, paragraphs [0024-0026]).

As per **claim 30**, Ivanov further teaches the software of Claim 16 further operable to:

migrate the plurality of agent components to an environment prior to receiving the request from the client (page 1, paragraph [0013]; page 2, paragraph [0027]; page 3, paragraph [0032]); and

wherein the software operable to process the client request using the selected agent components comprises the software operable to automatically process the client request using the selected agent components (page 1, paragraph [0014]; page 3, paragraph [0037]).

As per **claim 31**, Ivanov teaches the invention substantially as claimed, including a server comprising:

a memory operable to store a database and a knowledgebase, the knowledgebase comprising a plurality of component selection patterns (page 2, paragraph [0027-0031]); and

one or more processors collectively operable to:

receiving a request from a client as a query processor that receives a query command from a caller in an application (Abstract; page 1, paragraph [0014]; page 4, paragraph [0045]);

determining one or more environment characteristics as if the target data source 306, 308 is the WCS data source 306, the data source adapter 326 uses data source adapters of the WCS for establishing a connection and querying the WCS data source 306. If the target data source 306, 308 is the local data source 308, connections details for the data source 306, 308 are provided by the query command 314 to the data source adapter 326, for establishing the required connection and querying the data source 306, 308 (Fig. 3; page 4, paragraphs [0042, 0046]);

However, Ivanov does not explicitly teach

a) dynamically selecting at least a portion of a plurality of agent components based on the client request and the environment characteristics, the at least a portion of the plurality of agent components being selected using a relational knowledgebase that comprises a properties table of properties for dynamic agent component selection and an actions table of actions for processing; and

b) processing the client request using the selected agent components and according to one or more actions of the actions table that are planned and scheduled.

Britton et al. teach

a) **dynamically selecting at least a portion of a plurality of agent components based on the client request and the environment characteristics, the at least a portion of the plurality of agent components being selected using a**

relational knowledgebase that comprises a properties table of properties for dynamic agent component selection and an actions table of actions for processing as dynamically selecting a program component based upon a user's authorization privileges, current working environment, preferences, network connection type, status, current values of changeable attributes or some combination thereof. The values of changeable attributes may be provided from a plurality of sources, including the user, configuration mechanisms on the user's machine, the network gateway, or a network database of user or group preferences and administrative policy information (Fig. 4; col. 3 line 40 – col. 4, line 42; col. 7 lines 26-34; col. 9 line 56 - col. 10 line 12).

b) processing the client request using the selected agent components and according to one or more actions of the actions table that are planned and scheduled as a servlet version satisfying the predicate records for the desired function would be invoked by a server, and the output of that servlet version returned to the client workstation as the result of processing the function. Or, a specific servlet may be invoked by the server, with the component selection process performed within that servlet. This selection process would determine which component to access (such as another servlet) in order to execute the desired version of functionality (col. 15 line 32 – col. 17 line 48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Ivanov and Britton et al. to dynamically select a program component and process the selected program component based on user request, because it would allow software be optimized for particular users or

Art Unit: 2169

groups of users, or particular environments of hardware and/or software, while still providing applications that are usable by a wide range of users in a wide range of operating environments.

As per **claim 32**, Ivanov further teaches **each agent component comprising an object defined in an object-oriented programming language** as Object Oriented Software (page 3, paragraph [0037]).

As per **claim 33**, Ivanov further teaches the processors further operable to instantiate the selected agent component objects (page 3, paragraphs [0035-0036]).

As per **claim 34**, Ivanov further teaches the processors further operable to **select one or more characteristics of the request** (page 4, paragraphs [0045-0047]) and wherein the processors operable to dynamically select at least a portion of a plurality of agent components based on the client request comprise **the processors operable to select at least a portion of agent components based on the selected request characteristics** (page 4, paragraph [0047]).

As per **claim 35**, Ivanov further teaches the processors further **operable to store the selected request characteristics in one of the selected agent components** (page 5, paragraph [0055]).

As per **claim 36**, Ivanov further teaches wherein **accessing data in the database using the selected agent components is performed by one of the selected agent components comprising embedded structured query language (SQL)** (page 1, paragraph [0015]; page 5, paragraph [0055]).

As per **claim 37**, Ivanov further teaches **the client comprising a remote client and wherein the client request is received through a web server** as each of the clients 106 communicates with the server 102 via the network 104. The network 104 may be embodied using one or more conventional networking technologies, including local area networks, wide area networks, intranets, public Internet, and the like (page 2, paragraph [0024]).

As per **claim 38**, Ivanov further teaches the processors further **operable to communicate a web-enabled message to the remote client based on the processed request** (page 2, paragraphs [0024-0026]; page 3, paragraph [0033]).

As per **claim 39**, Ivanov further teaches **at least a portion of the agent components comprising objects based on a common parent class, the common parent class comprising component messaging and component location logic** as XML, DataBean (pages 3-4, paragraphs [0041-0043]; page 5, paragraph [0055]).

As per **claim 40**, Ivanov further teaches **wherein at least a portion of the plurality of agent components comply with Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA) standards** as DataBean, and data access objects (DAOs) (page 3; paragraph [0041]; page 4, paragraph [0044]).

As per **claim 41**, Ivanov further teaches **the processors further operable to register each instantiated agent component object** (page 3, paragraphs [0034, 0037]).

As per **claim 42**, Ivanov further teaches wherein the processors operable to dynamically select at least a portion of a plurality of agent components based on the client request and the environment characteristics comprise the processors operable to:

retrieve variable properties from the knowledgebase using the client request and the environment variables (page 1, paragraph [0008]);

selecting one of the component selection patterns based on the retrieved variable properties (page 4, paragraph [0044]); and

select at least a portion of the plurality of agent components using the component selection pattern (page 3, paragraph [0037]).

As per **claim 43**, Ivanov further teaches wherein the processors operable to dynamically select at least a portion of a plurality of agent components based on the

Art Unit: 2169

client request and the environment characteristics comprise **the processors operable to select at least a portion of the plurality of agent components based on a JAVA properties file** (page 5, paragraphs [000054-55]).

As per **claim 44**, Ivanov further teaches **the selected portion of the plurality of agent components operable to be executed in a non-web-enabled environment and a web-enabled environment as** local area networks, intranets, and internet (page 2, paragraphs [0024-0026]).

As per **claim 45**, Ivanov further teaches the processors further operable to:
migrate the plurality of agent components to an environment prior to receiving the request from the client (page 1, paragraph [0013]; page 2, paragraph [0027]; page 3, paragraph [0032]); and

wherein the processors operable to process the client request using the selected agent components comprises the software operable to automatically process the client request using the selected agent components (page 1, paragraph [0014]; page 3, paragraph [0037]).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/08/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, applicant argued in substance that

(A) Prior art does not teach “dynamically selecting at least a portion of a plurality of agent components based on the client request and the environment characteristics, the at least a portion of the plurality of agent components being selected using a relational knowledgebase that comprises a properties table of properties for dynamic agent component selection and an actions table of actions for processing.”

As to point **(A)**, Britton et al. teach “dynamically selecting at least a portion of a plurality of agent components based on the client request and the environment characteristics, the at least a portion of the plurality of agent components being selected using a relational knowledgebase that comprises a properties table of properties for dynamic agent component selection and an actions table of actions for processing” as dynamically selects and downloads components operates on a server in the network, one or more modules which are invoked in response to a request for a component sent to the server by a client and user’s current working environment. A set of predicate records is created for each component. The predicate records are stored 402 in a predicate repository 430. Each predicate record specifies one or more predicates related to selection of a version of a component, and a reference that can be used to retrieve that version from the repository 430. A predicate is preferably specified as an attribute type and attribute value pair (Fig. 4; col. 3 line 40 – col. 4, line 42; col. 7 lines 26-34; col. 9 line 56 - col. 10 line 12).

(B) Prior art does not teach "processing the client request using the selected agent components and according to one or more actions of the actions table that are planned and scheduled."

As to point **(B)**, Britton et al. teach "processing the client request using the selected agent components and according to one or more actions of the actions table that are planned and scheduled" as a servlet version satisfying the predicate records for the desired function would be invoked by a server, and the output of that servlet version returned to the client workstation as the result of processing the function. Or, a specific servlet may be invoked by the server, with the component selection process performed within that servlet. This selection process would determine which component to access (such as another servlet) in order to execute the desired version of functionality (col. 15 line 32 – col. 17 line 48).

(C) The proposed Ivanov-Britton combination is improper.

As to point **(C)**, in response to Applicant's argument that the proposed Ivanov-Britton combination is improper because the Examiner has not provided an adequate reason either in the cited references or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention. The examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

Art Unit: 2169

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Examiner stated that “It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Ivanov and Britton et al. to dynamically select a program component and process the selected program component based on user request, because it would allow software be optimized for particular users or groups of users, or particular environments of hardware and/or software, while still providing applications that are usable by a wide range of users in a wide range of operating environments.” The motivation Examiner provided is found in the Abstract of Britton et al. cited reference, and it is also well-known knowledge to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2169

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung K. Chau whose telephone number is 571-270-1754. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Friday 7:30am - 5:00pm Est, Alt Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tony Mahmoudi can be reached on 571-272-4078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Cam Y Truong/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2169

/Dung K Chau/
Examiner, Art Unit 2169

Application/Control Number: 10/657,916

Page 25

Art Unit: 2169

February 26, 2009